arXiv:1112.1206v2 [math.AP] 2 Apr 2012

SHARP WEYL-TYPE FORMULAS OF THE SPECTRAL FUNCTIONS FOR BIHARMONIC STEKLOV EIGENVALUES

GENQIAN LIU

Department of Mathematics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, the People's Republic of China. E-mail address: liugqz@bit.edu.cn

Abstract. In this paper, by explicitly calculating the principal symbols of pseudodifferential operators and by applying Hömander's spectral function theorem, we obtain the Weyl-type asymptotic formulas with sharp remainder estimates for the counting functions of the two classes of biharmonic Steklov eigenvalues λ_k and μ_k in a smooth bounded domain of a Riemannian manifold. This solves a longstanding challenging problem.

1. Introduction

Let $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ be a C^∞ Riemannian manifold of dimension n with a positive definite metric tensor g, and let $\Omega \subset \mathcal{M}$ be a bounded domain with C^∞ boundary $\partial\Omega$. Assume ϱ is a non-negative bounded function defined on $\partial\Omega$. We consider the following two biharmonic Steklov eigenvalue problems:

$$\begin{cases} \triangle_g^2 u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega,\\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega,\\ \triangle_g u + \lambda\varrho\frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \triangle_g^2 v = 0 & \text{in } \Omega,\\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega,\\ \frac{\partial(\triangle_g v)}{\partial\nu} - \mu^3\varrho^3 v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where ν denotes the inward unit normal vector to $\partial\Omega$, and $\triangle_g$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator defined in local coordinates by the expression,

$$\triangle_g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left(\sqrt{|g|}\, g^{ij}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right).$$

Here $|g| := det(g_{ij})$ is the determinant of the metric tensor, and g^{ij} are the components of the inverse of the metric tensor g.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35P20, 58C40, 58J50

Key words and phrases. biharmonic Steklov eigenvalues, asymptotic formula, remainder estimate, Riemannian manifold.

(1.1) and (1.2) are the biharmonic Steklov eigenvalue problems (see [6], [10], [17], [19], [26], [41] and [43]). In each of the two cases, the spectrum is discrete and we arrange the eigenvalues in non-decreasing order (repeated according to multiplicity)

$$0<\lambda_1\le\lambda_2\le\cdots\le\lambda_k\le\cdots,$$

$$0=\mu_1\le\mu_2\le\cdots\le\mu_k\le\cdots.$$

The corresponding eigenfunctions on $\partial\Omega$ are expressed as $\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial\nu}, \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial\nu},\cdots,\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial\nu},\cdots; v_1, v_2,\cdots, v_k,\cdots$. It is clear that λ_k and μ_k can be characterized variationally as

$$\lambda_1=\frac{\int_\Omega|\triangle_g u_1|^2dx}{\int_{\partial\Omega}\varrho\big(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial\nu}\big)^2ds}=\inf_{\substack{w\in H_0^1(\Omega)\cap H^2(\Omega)\\ 0\ne\frac{\partial w}{\partial\nu}\in L^2(\partial\Omega)}}\frac{\int_\Omega|\triangle_g w|^2dx}{\int_{\partial\Omega}\varrho\big(\frac{\partial w}{\partial\nu}\big)^2ds},$$

$$\lambda_k=\frac{\int_\Omega|\triangle_g u_k|^2dx}{\int_{\partial\Omega}\varrho\big(\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial\nu}\big)^2ds}=\max_{\substack{\mathcal{F}\subset H_0^1(\Omega)\cap H^2(\Omega)\\ codim(\mathcal{F})=k-1}}\inf_{\substack{w\in\mathcal{F}\\ 0\ne\frac{\partial w}{\partial\nu}\in L^2(\partial\Omega)}}\frac{\int_\Omega|\triangle_g w|^2dx}{\int_{\partial\Omega}\varrho\big(\frac{\partial w}{\partial\nu}\big)^2ds},\qquad k=2,3,4,\cdots$$

and

$$\mu_0=0,\quad \mu_1^3=\frac{\int_D|\triangle_g v_1|^2dx}{\int_{\partial D}\varrho^3v_1^2ds}=\inf_{\substack{w\in H^2(D)\\ \int_{\partial D}\varrho^3w\,ds=0}}\frac{\int_D|\triangle_g w|^2dx}{\int_{\partial D}\varrho^3w^2ds},$$

$$\mu_k^3=\frac{\int_D|\triangle_g v_k|^2dx}{\int_{\partial D}\varrho^3v_k^2ds}=\max_{\substack{\mathcal{F}\subset\{w\big|w\in H^2(D),\\ \int_{\partial D}\varrho^3w\,ds=0\},\ codim(\mathcal{F})=k}}\inf_{w\in\mathcal{F}}\frac{\int_D|\triangle_g w|^2dx}{\int_{\partial D}\varrho^3w^2ds},\quad k=2,3,4,\cdots$$

where $H^m(\Omega)$ is the Sobolev space, and where dx and ds are the Riemannian elements of volume and area on Ω and $\partial\Omega$, respectively.

The boundary value problems (1.1) and (1.2) have very interesting interpretations in theory of elasticity. We refer the reader to [10], [26] and [43] for more details. In view of the important applications, one is interested in finding the asymptotic formulas for λ_k and μ_k as $k\to\infty$. Let us introduce the counting functions $A(\tau)$ and $B(\tau)$ defined as the numbers of eigenvalues λ_k and μ_k less than or equal to a given τ, respectively. Then our asymptotic problems for the eigenvalues are reformulated as the study of the asymptotic behavior of $A(\tau)$ and $B(\tau)$ as $\tau\to+\infty$.

The simpler harmonic Steklov problem was first introduced by V. A. Steklov for bounded domains in the plane in [36]. This problem is to find function v satisfying

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\triangle_g v=0 & \text{in }\ \Omega,\\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}+\eta\varrho v=0 & \text{on }\ \partial\Omega,\end{array}\right.\tag{1.3}$$

where η is a real number (The function v represents the steady state temperature on Ω such that the flux on the boundary is proportional to the temperature). The harmonic Steklov spectrum of the domain is also called as the spectrum of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map (see [7], [11]) or [37]. For the harmonic Steklov eigenvalue problem (1.3), in 1955 Sandgren [28] established the asymptotic formula of the counting function $N(\tau)=\#\{k\big|\eta_k\le\tau\}$:

$$N(\tau)=\frac{\omega_{n-1}\tau^{n-1}}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}\int_{\partial\Omega}\varrho^{n-1}ds+o(\tau^{n-1})\quad\text{as }\ \tau\to+\infty,\tag{1.4}$$

where ω_{n-1} is the volume of the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. In the case that Riemannian manifold $\mathcal{M}$ and the boundary of Ω are smooth, the author [21] further gave a sharp remainder estimate for the counting function of the harmonic Steklov eigenvalues $\{\eta_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$:

$$N(\tau) = \frac{\omega_{n-1}\tau^{n-1}}{(2\pi)^{n-1}} \int_{\partial\Omega} \varrho^{n-1}(x)dx + O(\tau^{n-2}) \quad \text{as } \tau \to +\infty.$$

For the biharmonic Steklov eigenvalue problem (1.1) with general domain, in [21] the author also established the leading asymptotic formula with remainder $o(\tau^{n-1})$ as $\tau \to +\infty$.

For the Dirichlet and Neumann eigenvalues of the Laplacian on a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, H. Weyl ([44], [45]) in 1912 proved the following asymptotic formula which answered a question posed in 1908 by the physicist Lorentz:

$$N_{\mp}(\tau) = (2\pi)^{-n}\omega_n(\text{vol}(\Omega))\tau^{n/2} + o(\tau^{n/2}) \quad \text{as } \tau \to +\infty, \tag{1.5}$$

where $N_-(\tau) = \#\{k \in \mathbb{N}\big|\alpha_k \le \tau\}$, $N_+(\tau) = \#\{k \in \mathbb{N}\big|\beta_k \le \tau\}$, and $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 \le \cdots \le \alpha_k \le \cdots$ and $0 = \beta_1 < \beta_2 \le \cdots \le \beta_k \le \cdots$ are all the Dirichlet and Neumann eigenvalues on Ω, respectively. As far back as in 1912, H. Weyl [47] conjectured (see also, Clark [8]) that the second term of the asymptotic formula for $N_{\mp}(\tau)$ contain an $(n-1)$-dimensional measure ('area') of the boundary $\partial\Omega$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\mp}(\tau) \;&=\; (2\pi)^{-n}\omega_n(\text{vol}(\Omega))\tau^{n/2} \mp \frac{1}{4}(2\pi)^{-n+1}\omega_{n-1}(\text{vol}(\partial\Omega))\tau^{(n-1)/2} \\ &\quad + o(\tau^{(n-1)/2}) \quad \text{as } \tau \to +\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

In 1980, Ivrii [20] proved this conjecture for domains having smooth boundary under the following condition regarding the billiard trajectories of Ω, where the billiard trajectory in Ω is taken with the usual reflections at the boundary (see also p. 100 of [9]). Melrose [23] independently obtained the second term in Weyl's conjecture for manifolds with concave boundary.

Note that it was already observed by Avakumovič [5] that for the Laplacian on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^n$, the high multiplicities of the eigenvalues make it impossible to improve (1.5) to (1.6). Seeley (see, [30] and [31]) in 1980 gave the sharp asymptotic formula of the counting function (see also [4], [9], [27]):

$$N_{\mp}(\tau) = (2\pi)^{-n}\omega_n(\text{vol}(\Omega))\tau^{n/2} + O(\tau^{(n-1)/2}) \quad \text{as } \tau \to +\infty. \tag{1.7}$$

Applying the sharp asymptotic result (1.7), Sogge invented the unit band spectral projection operator (see [33] and [34]) and established the well-known asymptotic estimates of the mapping norm $\|\chi_\tau\|_{L_2 \to L_p}$ $(2 \le p \le \infty)$ (cf. [35]). Howerver, it has been a longstanding challenging problem to get the sharp Weyl-type asymptotic formulas for the biharmonic Steklov eigenvalues (see [21]).

In this paper, by explicitly calculating the principal symbols of the corresponding pseudodifferential operators for the problems (1.1) and (1.2) in the boundary of a C^∞ bounded domain, we obtain the sharp asymptotic formulas for the counting functions $A(\tau)$ and $B(\tau)$, respectively. The main results are the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ be an n-dimensional C^∞ Riemannian manifold, and let $\Omega \subset \mathcal{M}$ be a bounded domain with C^∞ boundary $\partial\Omega$. Then*

$$A(\tau) = \frac{\omega_{n-1}\tau^{n-1}}{(4\pi)^{n-1}} \int_{\partial\Omega} \varrho^{n-1} ds + O(\tau^{n-2}) \quad \textit{as } \tau \to +\infty. \tag{1.8}$$

Moreover, the above remainder estimate is sharp.

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ *be an* n*-dimensional* C^∞ *Riemannian manifold, and let* $\Omega \subset \mathcal{M}$ *be a bounded domain with smooth boundary* $\partial\Omega$*. Then*

$$B(\tau) = \frac{\omega_{n-1}\tau^{n-1}}{(\sqrt[3]{16}\pi)^{n-1}} \int_{\partial D} \varrho^{n-1} ds + O(\tau^{n-2}) \quad \text{as } \tau \to +\infty. \tag{1.9}$$

Moreover, the above remainder estimate is also sharp.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we give some definitions and lemmas. In Section 3, by a key technique we calculate the principal symbols of the corresponding "Neumann-to-Laplacian map" and "Dirichlet-to-Laplacian derivative map". Section 4 is devoted to the proofs of the sharp Weyl-type asymptotic formulas for $A(\tau)$ and $B(\tau)$. In Section 5, we give two counterexamples, which show that Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 cannot be improved.

2. Some definitions and lemmas

Definition 2.1. *If* U *is an open subset of* $\mathbb{R}^n$*, we denote by* $S^m = S^m(U, \mathbb{R}^n)$ *the set of all* $p \in C^\infty(U, \mathbb{R}^n)$ *such that for every compact set* $K \subset U$ *we have*

$$|D_x^\beta D_\xi^\alpha p(x,\xi)| \le C_{K,\alpha,\beta}(1+|\xi|)^{m-|\alpha|}, \quad x \in K, \ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \tag{2.1}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_+^n$*. The elements of* S^m *are called symbols of order* m*.*

It is clear that S^m is a Fréchet space with semi-norms given by the smallest constants which can be used in (2.1) (i.e.,

$$\|p\|_{K,\alpha,\beta} = \sup_{x\in K} \left| \left(D_x^\beta D_\xi^\alpha p(x,\xi)\right)(1+|\xi|)^{|\alpha|-m} \right|). \tag{2.2}$$

Let $p(x,\xi) \in S^m$. A pseudo-differential operator in an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is essentially defined by a Fourier integral operator (cf. [13]):

$$P(x,D)u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(x,\xi) e^{i\langle x,\xi\rangle} \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi. \tag{2.3}$$

Here $u \in C_0^\infty(U)$ and $\hat{u}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\langle y,\xi\rangle} u(y) dy$ is the Fourier transform of u.

Definition 2.2. *A pseudodifferential operator* P *with its symbol* p *in* S^m *is called classical or polyhomogeneous if there is a sequence of symbols* $p_j \in S^{m-j}$*,* $j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$*, such that* $p_j(x, t\xi) = t^{m-j} p_j(x,\xi)$ *for* $t > 1$*,* $|\xi| > 1$*, and*

$$\left| D_x^\beta D_\xi^\alpha \left(p(x,\xi) - \sum_{j=0}^N p_j(x,\xi) \right) \right| \le C_{\alpha,\beta,N} |\xi|^{m-N-1-|\alpha|}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta, |\xi| > 1$ *and all integers* $N \ge 0$*. In this case the notation* $p \sim \sum_{j=0}^\infty p_j$ *is used. The function* p_0 *is known as the principal symbol of pseudodifferential operator* P*, and the class of such symbol is denoted by* S^m_{cl}*.*

Given a diffeomorphism $\iota : U_1 \to U_2$, from one open set $U_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ onto another open set $U_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the induced transformation $\iota^* : C_0^\infty(U_2) \to C_0^\infty(U_1)$, taking a function u to the function $u \circ \iota$, is an isomorphism and transforms $C_0^\infty(U_2)$ into $C_0^\infty(U_1)$. Let P_1 be a pseudodifferential operator on U_1 and define $P_2 : C_0^\infty(U_2) \to C_\infty(U_2)$ with the help of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_0^\infty(U_1) \arrow[r, "P_1"] & C^\infty(U_1) \\
C_0^\infty(U_2) \arrow[r, "P_2"] \arrow[u, "\iota^*"] & C^\infty(U_2) \arrow[u, "\iota^*"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

i.e.,

$$P_2 u = [P_1(u \circ \iota)] \circ \iota^{-1}. \tag{2.4}$$

(2.4) can also be written as

$$P_2 u = (\iota^{-1})^* P_1(\iota^* u).$$

It follows from this that P_2 is also a pseudodifferential operator on U_2.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a smooth n-dimensional Riemannian manifold (of class C^∞). We will denote by $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ and $C_0^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ the space of all smooth complex-valued functions on $\mathcal{M}$ and the subspace of all functions with compact support, respectively. Assume that we are given a linear operator

$$P : C_0^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \to C^\infty(\mathcal{M}).$$

If G is some chart in $\mathcal{M}$ (not necessarily connected) and $\kappa : G \to U$ its diffeomorphism onto an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, then let $\tilde{P}$ be defined by the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_0^\infty(G) \arrow[r, "P"] & C^\infty(G) \\
C_0^\infty(U) \arrow[r, "\tilde{P}"] \arrow[u, "\kappa^*"] & C^\infty(U) \arrow[u, "\kappa^*"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

(note, in the upper row is the operator $r_G \circ P \circ i_G$, where i_G is the natural embedding $i_G : C_0^\infty(G) \to C_0^\infty(M)$ and r_G is the natural restriction $r_G : C^\infty(M) \to C^\infty(G)$; for brevity we denote this operator by the same letter P as the original operator).

Definition 2.3. *An operator $P : C_0^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \to C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ is called a pseudodifferential operator on $\mathcal{M}$ if for any chart diffeomorphism $\kappa : G \to U$, the operator $\tilde{P}$ defined above is a pseudodifferential operator on U.*

Lemma 2.4 (see, for example, Proposition 0.3.C of [40]**)** *If A and B are two pseudodifferential operators of order m and m', respectively, then the composition $C = A \circ B$ is a pseudodifferential operator of order $m + m'$ with the symbol*

$$c(x, \xi) \sim \sum_\alpha \frac{i^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} D_\xi^\alpha a(x, \xi) D_x^\alpha b(x, \xi) \tag{2.5}$$

where $a(x, \xi)$ and $b(x, \xi)$ are the symbols of A and B, respectively. In particular, the principal symbol of $A \circ B$ is $a_0(x, \xi) b_0(x, \xi)$, where $a_0(x, \xi)$ and $b_0(x, \xi)$ are the principal symbols of A and B, respectively.

Lemma 2.5. *Let*

$$A=\begin{pmatrix} a^{11} & a^{12} & \cdots & a^{1,n-1} & 0\\ a^{21} & a^{22} & \cdots & a^{2,n-1} & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots\\ a^{n-1,1} & a^{n-1,2} & \cdots & a^{n-1,n-1} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a^{nn}\end{pmatrix} \tag{2.6}$$

be a positive definite, real symmetric constant matrix. Let $\phi(x')$ and $h(x')$ be C^∞ functions of compact support in $(n-1)$-space. Then the problem

$$\begin{cases} \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} a^{jk}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}+a^{nn}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2}\right)^2 u=0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n_+,\\ u=\phi, & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+,\\ \sqrt{a^{nn}}\,\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n}=h & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+ \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

has a solution

$$u(x',x_n)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} K_1(x'-y',x_n)\phi(y')dy'+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} K_2(x'-y',x_n)h(y')dy', \tag{2.8}$$

where $\mathbb{R}^n_+=\{x=(x_1,\cdots,x_{n-1},x_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n\big|x_n>0\}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(x',x_n) &= (-1)^{n-1}\frac{(n-2)!}{(2\pi i)^{n-1}}\int_{|\eta'|=1}\left[\left(x'\cdot\eta'+ix_n\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}\frac{a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k}{a^{nn}}}\right)^{1-n}\right.\\ &\qquad \left.+(n-1)ix_n\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}\frac{a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k}{a^{nn}}}\left(x'\cdot\eta'+ix_n\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}\frac{a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k}{a^{nn}}}\right)^{-n}\right]ds_{\eta'},\\ K_2(x',x_n) &= (-1)^{n-1}\frac{(n-2)!}{(2\pi i)^{n-1}}\int_{|\eta'|=1}\left[\frac{x_n}{\sqrt{a^{nn}}}\left(x'\cdot\eta'+ix_n\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}\frac{a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k}{a^{nn}}}\right)^{1-n}\right]ds_{\eta'}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\eta'=(\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_{n-1})$ and $ds_{\eta'}$ is the area element on the unit sphere $|\eta'|=1$.

Proof. Writing $x=(x',x_n)$. Then the bi-Laplace operator P has characteristic form $P(\eta',\tau)=(\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k+a^{nn}\tau^2)^2$. It is easy to see that the roots of $P(\eta',\tau)$ with positive imaginary parts are $\tau_1^+(\eta')=\tau_2^+(\eta')=i\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}\frac{a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k}{a^{nn}}}$. Thus we have (see, Chapter I, §1 of [1])

$$M^+(\eta',\tau)=\left(\tau-i\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}\frac{a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k}{a^{nn}}}\right)^2=\tau^2-2i\left(\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}\frac{a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k}{a^{nn}}}\right)\tau-\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}\frac{a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k}{a^{nn}},$$

$$M_0^+(\eta',\tau)=1,\qquad M_1^+(\eta',\tau)=\tau-2i\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}\frac{a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k}{a^{nn}}},$$

so that

$$N_1(\eta',\tau)=M_1^+(\eta',\tau)=\tau-2i\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}\frac{a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k}{a^{nn}}},\qquad N_2(\eta',\tau)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{a^{nn}}}M_0^+(\eta',\tau)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{a^{nn}}}.$$

It follows from p. 635 of [1] and the well-known residue theorem (see, for example, p. 150 of [3]) that

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1(x', x_n) &= (-1)^{n-1} \tfrac{(n-2)!}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{|\eta'|=1} \Big[\int_\gamma \tfrac{N_1(\eta',\tau)}{M^+(\eta',\tau)(x'\cdot\eta'+x_n\tau)^{n-1}} d\tau\Big]\, ds_{\eta'} \\
&= (-1)^{n-1} \tfrac{(n-2)!}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{|\eta'|=1} \left[\int_\gamma \frac{\tau-2i\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} \frac{a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k}{a^{nn}}}}{\left(\tau-i\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}\frac{a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k}{a^{nn}}}\right)^2 (x'\cdot\eta'+x_n\tau)^{n-1}} d\tau\right] ds_{\eta'} \\
&= (-1)^{n-1} \tfrac{(n-2)!}{(2\pi i)^{n-1}} \int_{|\eta'|=1} \left[\left(x'\cdot\eta' + ix_n\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}\frac{a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k}{a^{nn}}}\right)^{1-n}\right. \\
&\qquad \left. +(n-1)ix_n\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}\frac{a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k}{a^{nn}}}\left(x'\cdot\eta' + ix_n\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}\frac{a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k}{a^{nn}}}\right)^{-n}\right] ds_{\eta'},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
K_2(x', x_n) &= (-1)^{n-2} \tfrac{(n-3)!}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{|\eta'|=1} ds_{\eta'} \Big[\int_\gamma \tfrac{N_2(\eta',\tau)}{M^+(\eta',\tau)(x'\cdot\eta'+x_n\tau)^{n-2}} d\tau\Big] \\
&= (-1)^{n-2} \tfrac{(n-3)!}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{|\eta'|=1} \left[\int_\gamma \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^{nn}}\left(\tau-i\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}\frac{a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k}{a^{nn}}}\right)^2 (x'\cdot\eta'+x_n\tau)^{n-2}} d\tau\right] ds_{\eta'} \\
&= (-1)^{n-1} \tfrac{(n-2)!}{(2\pi i)^{n-1}} \int_{|\eta'|=1} \left[\frac{x_n}{\sqrt{a^{nn}}}\left(x'\cdot\eta' + ix_n\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}\frac{a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k}{a^{nn}}}\right)^{1-n}\right] ds_{\eta'},
\end{aligned}$$

where γ is a Jordan contour in $\operatorname{Im}\tau > 0$ enclosing all the points $i\sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1}\frac{a^{jk}\eta_i\eta_j}{a^{nn}}}$ for all $|\eta'| = 1$. Applying Theorem 2.1 of [1], we obtain (2.8). $\square$

Let $\{E_\tau\}$ be the spectral resolution of pseudodifferential operator P, and let $e(x, y, \tau)$ be the kernel of E_τ. This is an element of $C^\infty(\Omega \times \Omega)$ called the spectral function of P.

The following Lemma will be used later.

Lemma 2.6 (Hömander's spectral function theorem, see, Theorem 5.1 of [14], [12] **or** [32]**)** *Let P be a non-negative pseudodifferential operator, acting on a C^∞ subdomain Ω of an n-dimensional C^∞ manifold. Let $p_0(x, \xi)$ be the principal symbol of P, which is a real homogeneous polynomial of degree m on the cotangent bundle $T^*(\Omega)$. The measure dx defines a Lebesgue measure $d\xi^*$ in each fiber of $T^*(\Omega)$; which is a vector space of dimension n. Then*

$$\tau^{-n/m} e(x, x, \tau) - (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{B_x} d\xi^* = O(\tau^{-1/m}) \quad \text{as } \tau \to \infty, \tag{2.9}$$

where $B_x = \{\xi \in T_x^*(\Omega) \big| p_0(x, \xi) < 1\}$.

3. THE PRINCIPAL SYMBOLS

3.1. Let $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ be a C^∞ Riemannian manifold, and let Ω be a bounded domain with C^∞ boundary in $\mathcal{M}$. The "Neumann-to-Laplacian map" is the map

$$F : H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega) \to H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$$

defined by the following problem: Let $h \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ and let $u \in H^2(\Omega)$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \triangle_g^2 u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = h & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

we set $Fh := (-\Delta_g u)\big|_{\partial\Omega}$. Multiplying (3.1) by u, integrating the result over Ω, and using Green's formula, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_\Omega u(\Delta_g^2 u)dx = \int_\Omega |\Delta_g u|^2 dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} u \frac{\partial(\Delta_g u)}{\partial \nu} ds + \int_{\partial\Omega} (\Delta_g u)\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} ds \\ &= \int_\Omega |\Delta_g u|^2 dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} (\Delta_g u)\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} ds, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\langle Fh, h\rangle = \int_{\partial\Omega} (Fh)h\, ds = \int_\Omega |\triangle_g u|^2 dx \ge 0, \quad \text{for any } \ h \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega).$$

This shows that F is a non-negative, self-adjoint, pseudodifferential operator on $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$. We shall calculate the principal symbol of F.

Lemma 3.1 *Let A be a positive definition, real-valued constant matrix as in (2.6). Assume that*

$$F_0 : C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \to C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$$

defined by the following problem: Let $h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ and let $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} a^{jk} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} + a^{nn}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2}\right)^2 u = 0 & \textit{in } \mathbb{R}^n_+, \\ u = 0 & \textit{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+, \\ \sqrt{a^{nn}}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} = h & \textit{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

we set $F_0 h := -\left(\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} a^{jk}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} + a^{nn}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2}\right)\big|_{\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+}$. Then the principal symbol of F_0 is

$$p_0(x', \eta') = 2\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k}, \qquad \forall\ (x', \eta') \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus 0).$$

Proof. Writing $x = (x', x_n)$, it follows from Lemma 2.5 that

$$u(x', x_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} K_2(x' - y', x_n)h(y')dy', \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$K_2(x', x_n) = (-1)^{n-1}\frac{(n-2)!}{(2\pi i)^{n-1}} \int_{|\eta'|=1} \left[\frac{x_n}{\sqrt{a^{nn}}}\left(x' \cdot \eta' + i x_n \sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} \frac{a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k}{a^{nn}}}\right)^{1-n}\right] ds_{\eta'}.$$

(3.3) shows that $u(x)$ is uniquely determined by the data of h on its support set. (3.2) can be rewritten as

$$\tag{3.4} \begin{cases} (a^{nn})^2\frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x_n^4} + 2\,a^{nn}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2}\left(\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} a^{jk}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}\right)u & \\ \qquad\qquad + \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} a^{jk}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}\right)^2 u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n_+, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+, \\ \sqrt{a^{nn}}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} = h & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+. \end{cases}$$

Taking the Fourier transform to (3.4) with respect to $x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}$, we have

$$\tag{3.5} \begin{cases} (a^{nn})^2\frac{\partial^4 \hat{u}}{\partial x_n^4} - 2a^{nn}\left(\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k\right)\frac{\partial^2\hat{u}}{\partial x_n^2} & \\ \qquad\qquad + \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k\right)^2 \hat{u} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n_+, \\ \hat{u} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+, \\ \sqrt{a^{nn}}\frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial x_n} = \hat{h}(\eta') & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+. \end{cases}$$

We denote $|\xi'| := \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k}{a^{nn}}}$. Then, the general solution of (3.5) has the form:

$$\tag{3.6} \hat{u}(\eta', x_n) = C_1 e^{|\xi'|x_n} + C_2 e^{-|\xi'|x_n} + C_3 x_n e^{|\xi'|x_n} + C_4 x_n e^{-|\xi'|x_n},$$

where C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 are arbitrary functions in η'. From the boundary conditions of (3.5), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{u}(\eta', x_n) \;=\;& C_2(-e^{|\xi'|x_n} + e^{-|\xi'|x_n} + 2x_n|\xi'|e^{-|\xi'|x_n}) \\ & + C_3(x_n e^{|\xi'|x_n} - x_n e^{-|\xi'|x_n}) + \frac{\hat{h}(\eta')}{\sqrt{a^{nn}}} x_n e^{-|\xi'|x_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle x',\eta'\rangle}\Big[& C_2(-e^{|\xi'|x_n} + e^{-|\xi'|x_n} + 2x_n|\xi'|e^{-|\xi'|x_n}) \\ & + C_3(x_n e^{|\xi'|x_n} - x_n e^{-|\xi'|x_n}) + \frac{\hat{h}(\eta')}{\sqrt{a^{nn}}} x_n e^{-|\xi'|x_n}\Big]\, d\eta', \end{aligned}$$

from which we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j\partial x_k} \;=\;& \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{i\langle x',\eta'\rangle}(-\eta_j\eta_k)\Big[C_2(-e^{|\xi'|x_n} + e^{-|\xi'|x_n} + 2x_n|\xi'|e^{-|\xi'|x_n}) \\ & + C_3(x_n e^{|\xi'|x_n} - x_n e^{-|\xi'|x_n}) + \frac{\hat{h}(\eta')}{\sqrt{a^{nn}}}\, x_n e^{-|\xi'|x_n}\Big]\, d\eta', \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_n^2} \;=\;& \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{i\langle x',\eta'\rangle}\Big[C_2\Big(-|\xi'|^2 e^{|\xi'|x_n} + |\xi'|^2 e^{-|\xi'|x_n} - 4|\xi'|^2 e^{-|\xi'|x_n} \\ & + 2x_n|\xi'|^3 e^{-|\xi'|x_n}\Big) + C_3\Big(2|\xi'|e^{|\xi'|x_n} + x_n|\xi'|^2 e^{|\xi'|x_n} + 2|\xi'|e^{-|\xi'|x_n} - x_n|\xi'|^2 e^{-|\xi'|x_n}\Big) \\ & - 2\frac{\hat{h}(\eta')}{\sqrt{a^{nn}}}|\xi'|e^{-|\xi'|x_n} + \frac{\hat{h}(\eta')}{\sqrt{a^{nn}}}\, x_n|\xi'|^2 e^{-|\xi'|x_n}\Big]\, d\eta'. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\left(\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} a^{jk}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}+a^{nn}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_n^2}\right)\Big|_{x_n=0}=\left(a^{nn}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_n^2}\right)\Big|_{x_n=0}$$
$$=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} a^{nn}\left[-4C_2|\xi'|^2+4C_3|\xi'|-2|\xi'|\frac{\hat{h}(\eta')}{\sqrt{a^{nn}}}\right]e^{i\langle x',\eta'\rangle}\,d\eta'.$$

In order to take a bounded solution of the equation (3.5), we may let $C_2=C_3=0$. Hence

$$\left(\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} a^{jk}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}+a^{nn}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_n^2}\right)\Big|_{x_n=0}=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} a^{nn}\left[-2|\xi'|\frac{\hat{h}(\eta')}{\sqrt{a^{nn}}}\right]e^{i\langle x',\eta'\rangle}\,d\eta'$$
$$=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} a^{nn}\left[-2\left(\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}\frac{a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k}{a^{nn}}}\right)e^{i\langle x',\eta'\rangle}\,\frac{\hat{h}(\eta')}{\sqrt{a^{nn}}}\right]d\eta'$$
$$=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\left(-2\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k}\right)e^{i\langle x',\eta'\rangle}\,\hat{h}(\eta')d\eta',$$

i.e.,

$$F_0 h=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\left(2\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k}\right)e^{i\langle x',\eta'\rangle}\,\hat{h}(\eta')\,d\eta'. \tag{3.7}$$

This shows that the principal symbol of the pseudodifferential operator F_0 is $2\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k}$.
□

Theorem 3.2 *Let $(\mathcal{M},g)$ be an n-dimensional C^∞ Riemannian manifold, and let Ω be a bounded domain with C^∞ boundary. Assume that the pseudodifferential operator F is defined as before. Then for any coordinate chart $\kappa:\partial\Omega\supset U\to U^\kappa\subset\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ there is a pseudodifferential operator $\Lambda\in\Psi^1(U^\kappa)$ such that for every $h\in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ we have*

$$\kappa_*(Fh)-\Lambda(\kappa_* h)\in C^\infty(U^\kappa),$$

where κ_* is the linear tangent mapping of κ. Λ is elliptic and has a coordinate invariant positively 1-homogeneous principal symbol $p_0\in C^\infty(T^*U^\kappa\setminus 0)=C^\infty(U^\kappa\times(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\setminus 0))$ given by

$$p_0(x',\eta')=2\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}g^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k},\qquad \forall\ (x',\eta')\in T^*U^\kappa\setminus 0.$$

Proof. It is well-known (see, for example, [24]) that there is a $T>0$ and a neighborhood $G\subset\mathcal{M}$ of the boundary $\partial\Omega$ together with a diffeomorphism $\psi:G\to\partial\Omega\times[0,T)$ such that

i) $\psi(q)=(q,0)$ for every $q\in\partial\Omega$,

ii) The unique geodesic normal to $\partial\Omega$ (with the unit-speed $\sqrt{g^{nn}}$ with respect to g) starting in $q\in\partial\Omega$ is given by

$$[0,T)\to\Omega,\qquad x_n\to\psi^{-1}(q,x_n).$$

Moreover, ψ is unique with i) and ii) and has the following additional properties: Let $\kappa:\partial\Omega\supseteq U\to U^\kappa\subset\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ be any coordinate chart on $\partial\Omega$ and $\tilde{\kappa}:\mathcal{M}\supseteq\tilde{U}\to U^\kappa\times[0,T)$ be its extension via ψ.

1) The metric g has on $U^\kappa \times [0,T)$ the form

$$\tilde{\kappa}_* g = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} \big(g_{jk}\, dx_j \otimes dx_k\big) + g_{nn} dx_n \otimes dx_n, \tag{3.8}$$

2) The Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_g can in $U^\kappa \times [0,T)$ be written as

$$\Delta_g^{\tilde{\kappa}} = g^{nn}\, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}}\, \frac{\partial(\sqrt{|g|}\, g^{nn})}{\partial x_n}\, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} + \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}}\, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\sqrt{|g|}\, g^{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\right).$$

$\tilde{\kappa}$ is called a boundary normal coordinate chart and its coordinates $x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, x_n$ boundary normal coordinates. G is said to be a tubular neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$. Therefore, for given $\epsilon > 0$ and every $q \in \partial\Omega$, we let $(G_{q,\epsilon}, \tilde{\kappa})$ is a boundary normal coordinates chart (Note that $\tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon}) = U^\kappa_{q,\epsilon} \times [0,T)$) such that $\text{diam}(G_{q,\epsilon}) < \epsilon$. Then there is a partition of unity subordinate to the open cover $\{G_{q,\epsilon} \cap \partial\Omega \big| q \in \partial\Omega\}$, i.e., a collection of real-valued C^∞ functions ϕ_i on $\partial\Omega$ satisfying the following conditions:

(a) The supports of the ϕ_i are compact and locally finite;
(b) The support of ϕ_i is completely contained in G_α for some α;
(c) The ϕ_i sum to one at each point of $\partial\Omega$:

$$\sum_i \phi_i(x) = 1.$$

Since $h = \sum_i h\phi_i$, we may assume that the support set of h is contained in some small neighborhood $G_{q,\epsilon} \cap \partial\Omega$ for some $q \in \partial\Omega$. (Let us point out that $\big(\tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon})\big) \cap \{x_n = 0\} = U^\kappa_{q,\epsilon} \subset \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$). It is clear that we can always choose a fine cover $\{G_{q,\epsilon} \cap \partial\Omega\}$ of $\partial\Omega$, so that in addition to (3.8) we have

$$|\big(g^{jk}(x', x_n) - g^{jk}(0)\big)\eta_j\eta_k| \le \epsilon \sum_{j=1}^n \eta_j^2, \qquad ((x', x_n) \in \tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon})) \tag{3.9}$$

for any given $\epsilon > 0$, all real $\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_n$ and all $\tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon})$. The finer cover does not influence the fact, which is obviously true in the original cover, that (3.9) holds.

Let u be the solution of (3.1). For any fixed $\epsilon_0 > 0$, in the local coordinates, the u satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Big[\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\sqrt{|g|} g^{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\right) + g^{nn} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2} \\ \qquad\qquad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \frac{\partial(\sqrt{|g|} g^{nn})}{\partial x_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\Big]^2 u = 0 & \text{in } \tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon_0}), \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon_0}) \cap \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+, \\ \sqrt{g^{nn}(x)}\, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} = h & \text{on } \tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon_0}) \cap \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+. \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

By the regularity of elliptic equations, we get $u \in C^{4,\alpha}(\tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon}))$. We define the operator $F_{q,\epsilon_0} : C_0^\infty((\tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon_0})) \cap \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+) \to C^\infty((\tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon_0})) \cap \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ by $F_{q,\epsilon_0} h := (-\Delta u)\big|_{(\tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon_0})) \cap \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+}$ for any $h \in C_0^\infty((\tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon_0})) \cap \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+)$. It is easy to check that F_{q,ϵ_0} is a pseudodifferential operator on the open set $(\tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon_0})) \cap \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$. We denote its principal symbol as $p_0^{(\epsilon_0)}(x', \eta')$.

Next, noticing that (see, for example, Theorem 1.4.4 of [15])

$$\frac{\partial g^{jk}}{\partial x_l}(0) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \ 1 \le j, k, l \le n,$$

we get

$$\Delta^2_{g(0)} = \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} g^{jk}(0)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} + g^{nn}(0)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2}\right)^2,$$

where 0 is the boundary normal coordinates of $q \in \partial\Omega$. Let v be the solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} g^{jk}(0)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j\partial x_k} + g^{nn}(0)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2}\right)^2 v = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n_+, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+, \\ \sqrt{g^{nn}(0)}\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_n} = h & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+. \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

Since

$$0 = \Delta^2_{g(0)} v - \Delta^2_g u = \Delta^2_{g(0)}(v-u) + (\Delta^2_{g(0)} - \Delta^2_g)u \quad \text{in } \tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon}),$$

we get that $v - u$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2_{g(0)}(v-u) = (\Delta^2_g - \Delta^2_{g(0)})u & \text{in } \tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon}), \\ v - u = 0 & \text{on } (\tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon})) \cap \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+ \\ \sqrt{g^{nn}(0)}\,\frac{\partial(v-u)}{\partial x_n} = (\sqrt{g^{nn}(x)} - \sqrt{g^{nn}(0)})\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} & \text{on } (\tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon})) \cap \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+. \end{cases}$$

It follows from L^p-estimates of elliptic equations (see, for example, Theorem 15.3 of [1]) that

$$\|v-u\|_{W^{4,p}(\tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon/2}))} \le C_1 \Bigg(\|(\Delta^2_g - \Delta^2_{g(0)})u\|_{L^p(\tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon}))} + \left\| \frac{\sqrt{g^{nn}(x)} - \sqrt{g^{nn}(0)}}{\sqrt{g^{nn}(0)}}\,\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n}\right\|_{W^{3-\frac{1}{p},p}(\tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon})\cap\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+)} + \|v-u\|_{L^p(\tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon}))}\Bigg),$$

where the constant C_1 is independent of $v-u$, $W^{l,p}(\tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon}))$ is the Sobolev space with $p > n$, and $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$. From

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \|v-u\|_{L^p(\tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon}))} = 0, \quad \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \|(\Delta^2_g - \Delta^2_{g(0)})u\|_{L^p(\tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon}))} = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \left\| \frac{\sqrt{g^{nn}(x)} - \sqrt{g^{nn}(0)}}{g^{nn}(0)}\,\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n}\right\|_{W^{3-\frac{1}{p},p}(\tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon})\cap\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+)} = 0,$$

we obtain

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\|v-u\|_{W^{4,p}(\tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon/2}))} = 0.$$

Combining this and the Sobolev imbedding theorem, we find

$$\|v-u\|_{C^{3,\alpha}(\tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon/2}))} = 0.$$

Furthermore, applying the Schauder estimates (see, for example, the proof of Theorem 7.2 in [1]), we have that for any $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$,

$$\|v-u\|_{C^{4,\alpha}(\tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon/4}))} \le C_2 \Bigg(\|(\Delta^2_g - \Delta^2_{g(0)})u\|_{C^{\alpha}(\tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon/2}))} + \left\| \frac{\sqrt{g^{nn}(x)} - \sqrt{g^{nn}(0)}}{\sqrt{g^{nn}(0)}}\,\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n}\right\|_{C^{3,\alpha}(\tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon/2}))\cap\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+} + \|v-u\|_{C^{\alpha}(\tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon/2}))}\Bigg),$$

where the constant C_2 is independent of $v-u$. By

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\|(\Delta^2_g - \Delta^2_{g(0)})u\|_{C^{\alpha}(\tilde{\kappa}(G_{q,\epsilon/2}))} = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\left\|\frac{\sqrt{g^{nn}(x)}-\sqrt{g^{nn}(0)}}{g^{nn}(0)}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n}\right\|_{C^{3,\alpha}(\tilde\kappa(G_{q,\epsilon})\cap\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+)}=0,$$

we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\|v-u\|_{C^{4,\alpha}(\tilde\kappa(G_{q,\epsilon/4}))}=0,$$

which implies

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\|\Delta_g u-\Delta_{g(0)}v\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\tilde\kappa(G_{q,\epsilon/4}))}=0.$$

Applying the trace theorem, we obtain

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\Big((\Delta_g u)\big|_{C(\tilde\kappa(G_{q,\epsilon/4})\cap\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+)}-(\Delta_{g(0)}v)\big|_{C(\tilde\kappa(G_{q,\epsilon/4})\cap\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+)}\Big)=0,$$

i.e.,

$$(\Delta_g u)\big|_{\{x'=0\big|(x',0)\in\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\}}=(\Delta_{g(0)}v)\big|_{\{x'=0\big|(x',0)\in\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\}}.\tag{3.12}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that F_0 has the principal symbol $2\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}g^{jk}(0)\eta_j\eta_k}$, where $F_0:C_0^\infty(\tilde\kappa(G_{q,\epsilon_0})\cap\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+)\to C^\infty(\tilde\kappa(G_{q,\epsilon_0})\cap\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ defined by $F_0h=(\Delta v)\big|_{\tilde\kappa(G_{q,\epsilon_0})\cap\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+}$, and v is the solution of (3.11). From (3.12), we get that the principal symbol of F_{q,ϵ_0} is also $p_0^{(\epsilon_0)}(0,\xi')=2\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}g^{jk}(0)\eta_j\eta_k}$. Since q is an arbitrary point at $\partial\Omega$, it follows that the principal symbol of F is $2\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}g^{jk}(x')\eta_j\eta_k}$ in the local boundary normal coordinate system (x') of $\partial\Omega$. $\square$

3.2. We define another pseudodifferential operator $\Theta: H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)\to H^{-3/2}(\partial\Omega)$ as follows: Let $\phi\in H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$, and let $u\in H^2(\Omega)$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases}\triangle_g^2 u=0 & \text{in }\ \Omega,\\ u=\phi & \text{on }\ \partial\Omega,\\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu}=0 & \text{on }\ \partial\Omega,\end{cases}\tag{3.13}$$

we set $\Theta h:=\frac{\partial(\Delta_g u)}{\partial\nu}\big|_{\partial\Omega}$. By Green's formula, we have

$$\langle\Theta h,h\rangle=\int_{\partial\Omega}(\Theta h)h\,ds=\int_{\partial\Omega}u\left(\frac{\partial(\Delta_g u)}{\partial\nu}\right)ds=\int_\Omega|\triangle_g u|^2dx\ge 0,\quad\text{for any }\ h\in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega),$$

which implies that Θ is a non-negative, self-adjoint pseudodifferential operator from $H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$ to $H^{-3/2}(\partial\Omega)$.

Lemma 3.3 *Let A be a positive definition, real-valued constant matrix as in (2.6). Assume that*

$$\Theta_0:C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})\to C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$$

defined by the following problem: Let $\phi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ and let $u\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases}\Big(\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}a^{jk}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}+a^{nn}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2}\Big)^2u=0 & \textit{in }\ \mathbb{R}^n_+,\\ u=\phi & \textit{on }\ \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+,\\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n}=0 & \textit{on }\ \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+.\end{cases}\tag{3.14}$$

we set $\Theta_0\phi := \sqrt{a^{nn}}\,\frac{\partial(\Delta_g u)}{\partial x_n}\big|_{\partial\mathbb{R}^n}$. *Then the principal symbol of* Θ_0 *is*

$$p_0(x',\eta') = 2\sqrt{\left(\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k\right)^3}, \qquad \forall\ (x',\eta')\in\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\setminus 0).$$

Proof. Similar to Lemma 3.2, it follows from Lemma 2.5 that

$$u(x',x_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} K_1(x'-y',x_n)\phi(y')dy', \tag{3.15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(x',x_n) &= (-1)^{n-1}\frac{(n-2)!}{(2\pi i)^{n-1}}\int_{|\eta'|=1}\left[\left(x'\cdot\eta' + ix_n\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}\frac{a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k}{a^{nn}}}\right)^{1-n}\right.\\ &\quad \left. +(n-1)ix_n\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}\frac{a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k}{a^{nn}}}\left(x'\cdot\eta' + ix_n\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}\frac{a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k}{a^{nn}}}\right)^{-n}\right] ds_{\eta'}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the Fourier transform for (3.14) with respect to $x_1,\cdots,x_{n-1}$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \left(a^{nn}\right)^2\frac{\partial^4\hat{u}}{\partial x_n^4} - 2a^{nn}\left(\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k\right)\frac{\partial^2\hat{u}}{\partial x_n^2} & \\ \qquad\qquad + \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k\right)^2\hat{u} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n_+,\\ \hat{u} = \hat{\phi}(\eta') & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+,\\ \frac{\partial\hat{u}}{\partial x_n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+. \end{cases} \tag{3.16}$$

Then, the general solution of (3.16) has the form:

$$\hat{u}(\eta',x_n) = C_1 e^{|\xi'|x_n} + C_2 e^{-|\xi'|x_n} + C_3 x_n e^{|\xi'|x_n} + C_4 x_n e^{-|\xi'|x_n}, \tag{3.17}$$

where $|\xi'| := \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k}{a^{nn}}}$, and C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 are arbitrary functions in η'. In order to obtain a bounded solution of (3.16), we put $C_1 = C_3 = 0$, so that we find by the boundary conditions of (3.16) that $C_2 = \hat{\phi}(\eta')$, $C_4 = \hat{\phi}(\eta')|\xi'|$. That is,

$$\hat{u}(\eta',x_n) = \hat{\phi}(\eta')e^{-|\xi'|x_n}\left(1 + x_n|\xi'|\right).$$

Thus

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{i\langle x',\eta'\rangle}\hat{\phi}(\eta')e^{-|\xi'|x_n}\left(1+x_n|\xi'|\right)d\eta'.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x_j\partial x_k\partial x_n} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} x_n e^{i\langle x',\eta'\rangle}\eta_j\eta_k\hat{\phi}(\eta')|\xi'|^2 e^{-|\xi'|x_n}d\eta'\\ \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x_n^3} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{i\langle x',\eta'\rangle}\hat{\phi}(\eta')e^{-|\xi'|x_n}\left(2|\xi'|^3 - x_n|\xi'|^4\right)d\eta', \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_0\phi &= \left[\sqrt{a^{nn}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\left(\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} a^{jk}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}+a^{nn}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_n^2}\right)\right]\Big|_{x_n=0}\\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} 2\left(\sqrt{a^{nn}}|\xi'|\right)^3 e^{i\langle x',\eta'\rangle}\hat{\phi}(\eta')\,d\eta'\\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} 2\sqrt{\left(\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k\right)^3} e^{i\langle x',\eta'\rangle}\hat{\phi}(\eta')\,d\eta'.\end{aligned}$$

This shows that the principal symbol of the pseudodifferential operator Θ_0 on $\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$ is $2\sqrt{\left(\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} a^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k\right)^3}$. ☐

Theorem 3.4 *Let $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ be an n-dimensional C^∞ Riemannian manifold, and let Ω be a bounded domain with C^∞ boundary. Assume that the pseudodifferential operator Θ is defined as before. Then for any coordinate chart $\kappa : \partial\Omega \supset U \to U^\kappa \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ there is a pseudodifferential operator $\Upsilon \in \Psi^1(U^\kappa)$ such that for every $\phi \in H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$ we have*

$$\kappa_*(\Theta\phi) - \Upsilon(\kappa_*\phi) \in C^\infty(U^\kappa).$$

Υ is elliptic and has a coordinate invariant positively 3-homogeneous principal symbol $p_0 \in C^\infty(T^*U^\kappa \setminus 0) = C^\infty(U^\kappa \times (\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\setminus 0))$ given by

$$p_0(x',\eta') = 2\left(\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} g^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k\right)^{3/2}, \qquad \forall\ (x',\eta') \in T^*U^\kappa \setminus 0.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to Theorem 3.2.

4. Proofs of main results

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $F : H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega) \to H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ is defined as in §3.1. It follows from the discussion in §3.1 that F is a self-adjoint, elliptic, pseudodifferential operator on $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ whose principal symbol is $2\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} g^{jk}(x')\eta_j\eta_k}$, where x' is the local boundary normal coordinate on $\partial\Omega$. We define the operator Z_ϵ by

$$Z_\epsilon f(x') = \left(\frac{1}{\varrho(x')+\epsilon}\right) f(x') \quad \text{for all } f \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega) \text{ and } x' \in \partial\Omega,$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is a sufficiently small constant. Applying Lemma 2.4, we obtain that the operator $Q_\epsilon = Z_\epsilon \circ F : H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega) \to H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ defined by $Q_\epsilon h = \left(\frac{1}{(\varrho(x')+\epsilon)}(-\triangle_g u)\right)\big|_{\partial\Omega}$ is a pseudodifferential operator with the principal symbol $\frac{2\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} g^{jk}(x')\eta_j\eta_k}}{\varrho(x')+\epsilon}$, where u is the solution of (3.1). It is easily seen that the operator Q_ϵ has the same eigenvalues $\lambda_k(\epsilon)$ and corresponding normalized eigenfunctions $\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial \nu}$ on $\partial\Omega$ as the following biharmonic

Steklov eigenvalue problem:

$$\begin{cases} \triangle_g^2 u_k = 0 & \text{in } \ \Omega, \\ u_k = 0 & \text{on } \ \partial\Omega, \\ \triangle_g u_k + (\lambda_k(\epsilon))(\varrho(x') + \epsilon)\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \ \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Let E_τ be the spectral resolution of Q_ϵ, and let $e(x', y', \tau)$ be the kernel of E_τ (here $e(x', y', \tau) = \sum_{\lambda_k \le \tau} \sqrt{(\varrho(x') + \epsilon)(\varrho(y') + \epsilon)}\, \frac{\partial u_k(x')}{\partial \nu} \frac{\partial u_k(y')}{\partial \nu})$. It follows from Lemma 2.6 (see, Theorem 1.1 of [14], or [12]) that

$$\tau^{-(n-1)} e(x', x', \tau) - (2\pi)^{-(n-1)} \int_{B_{x'}} d\xi^* = O(\tau^{-1}) \quad \text{ as } \ \tau \to +\infty, \tag{4.1}$$

where $B_{x'} = \{\eta' \in T^*_{x'}(\partial\Omega) \big| p_0(x', \eta') < 1\}$, $p_0(x', \eta')$ denotes the principal symbol of Q_ϵ. By $A_\epsilon(\tau) = \int_{\partial\Omega} e(x', x', \tau)dx'$ and $p_0(x', \eta') = \frac{2\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} g^{jk}(x')\eta_j\eta_k}}{\varrho(x') + \epsilon}$, we obtain that

$$A_\epsilon(\tau) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}} \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} dx' \int_{2(\varrho(x')+\epsilon)^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} g^{jk}(x')\,\eta_j\eta_k}\right) < 1} d\xi^* \right) \tau^{n-1} \\ + O(\tau^{n-2}) \qquad \text{as } \ \tau \to +\infty.$$

For any fixed local boundary normal coordinate $x' \in \tilde{\kappa}(\partial\Omega)$, since $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix $g' = (g^{jk}(x'))$ is positive definite, there exists an $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix $C(x') = (c_{jk}(x'))$ such that ${}^tC(x')g'(x')C(x') = (\delta_{jk})$, where δ_{jk} is the Kronecker delta. Note that $d\xi^* = \sqrt{|g'(x')|}\, d\zeta_1 \cdots d\zeta_{n-1}$, in each fiber of $T^*(\partial\Omega)$, which is a vector space of dimension $n-1$. With the change of variables $\eta_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} c_{jk}(x')\zeta_k$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{2(\varrho(x')+\epsilon)^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} g^{jk}(x')\eta_j\eta_k}\right) < 1} d\xi^* \\ &\quad = \int_{\{(\zeta_1, \cdots, \zeta_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \big| \sqrt{\zeta_1^2 + \cdots + \zeta_{n-1}^2} < \frac{\varrho(x')+\epsilon}{2}\}} |\det C(x')|\, \sqrt{|g'(x')|}\, d\zeta_1 \cdots d\zeta_{n-1}) \\ &\quad = \int_{\{(\zeta_1, \cdots, \zeta_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \big| \sqrt{\zeta_1^2 + \cdots + \zeta_{n-1}^2} < \frac{\varrho(x')+\epsilon}{2}\}} d\zeta_1 \cdots d\zeta_{n-1} \\ &\quad = \omega_{n-1} \left(\frac{\varrho(x') + \epsilon}{2} \right)^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

here we have used the fact that $|\det C(x')|\sqrt{|g(x')|} = 1$, and where ω_{n-1} is the volume of the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Therefore

$$A_\epsilon(\tau) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}} \omega_{n-1}\, \tau^{n-1} \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{\varrho(x') + \epsilon}{2} \right)^{n-1} dx' + O(\tau^{n-2}) \quad \text{ as } \ \tau \to +\infty.$$

Letting $\epsilon \to 0$, we obtain

$$A(\tau) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}\, \omega_{n-1} \tau^{n-1} \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{\varrho(x')}{2} \right)^{n-1} dx' + O(\tau^{n-2}) \quad \text{ as } \ \tau \to +\infty,$$

that is,

$$A(\tau) = \frac{\omega_{n-1}\tau^{n-1}}{(4\pi)^{n-1}} \int_{\partial\Omega} (\varrho(s))^{n-1} ds + O(\tau^{n-2}) \quad \text{ as } \ \tau \to +\infty.$$

$\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $R_\epsilon : H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega) \to H^{-3/2}(\partial\Omega)$ be defined as follows: For any $\phi \in H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$, we put $R_\epsilon \phi = \left(\frac{1}{(\varrho+\epsilon)^3}\, \frac{\partial(\triangle_g v)}{\partial\nu}\right)\big|_{\partial\Omega}$, where v satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \triangle_g^2 v = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \phi & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

and $\epsilon > 0$ is a sufficiently small constant. Clearly, R_ϵ is a self-adjoint, elliptic, non-negative pseudodifferential operator of order 3. By Lemmas 2.4 and Theorem 3.4, we get that the principal symbol of R_ϵ has the form $\frac{2}{(\varrho+\epsilon)^3}\left(\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} g^{jk}\eta_j\eta_k\right)^{3/2}$, where $\eta' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. It is easily seen that the operator R_ϵ has the same eigenvalues $\mu_k^3(\epsilon)$ and corresponding normalized eigenfunctions v_k on $\partial\Omega$ as the following biharmonic Steklov eigenvalue problem:

$$\begin{cases} \triangle_g^2 v_k = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \frac{\partial(\triangle_g v_k)}{\partial\nu} - \mu_k^3(\epsilon)(\varrho+\epsilon)^3 v_k = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.6 (Theorem 1.1 of [14], also see [12] or [2]) that

$$\tau^{-(n-1)/3} e(x', x', \tau) - (2\pi)^{-(n-1)} \int_{B_{x'}} d\xi^* = O(\tau^{1/3}) \quad \text{as } \tau \to +\infty, \tag{4.2}$$

where $B_{x'} = \{\eta' \in T^*_{x'}(\partial\Omega) \big| p_0(x', \eta') < 1\}$, $p_0(x', \eta')$ denotes the principal symbol of F_ϵ. Since $B_\epsilon(\tau) = \int_{\partial\Omega} e(x', x', \tau^3)dx'$ and $p_0(x', \eta') = \frac{2\sqrt{(\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} g^{jk}(x')\eta_j\eta_k)^3}}{(\varrho(x')+\epsilon)^3}$, we have

$$B_\epsilon(\tau) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}\left(\int_{\partial\Omega} dx' \int_{2(\varrho(x')+\epsilon)^{-3}\left(\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} g^{jk}(x')\,\eta_j\eta_k}\right)^{3/2}<1} d\xi^*\right)\tau^{n-1}$$
$$+O(\tau^{n-2}) \quad \text{as } \tau \to +\infty,$$

where $d\xi^* = \sqrt{|g'(x')|}\, d\zeta_1 \cdots d\zeta_{n-1}$. With the change of variables $\eta_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} c_{jk}(x')\zeta_k$, where $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix $C(x') = (c_{jk}(x'))$ satisfies ${}^tC(x')g'(x')C(x') = (\delta_{jk})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{2(\varrho(x')+\epsilon)^{-3}\left(\sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} g^{jk}(x')\eta_j\eta_k}\right)^{3/2}<1} d\xi^* \\ &\qquad = \int_{\{(\zeta_1,\cdots,\zeta_{n-1})\in\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\big|\sqrt{\zeta_1^2+\cdots+\zeta_{n-1}^2}<\frac{\varrho(x')+\epsilon}{\sqrt[3]{2}}\}} d\zeta_1\cdots d\zeta_{n-1} \\ &\qquad = \omega_{n-1}\left(\frac{\varrho(x')+\epsilon}{\sqrt[3]{2}}\right)^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$B_\epsilon(\tau) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}\omega_{n-1}\,\tau^{n-1}\int_{\partial\Omega}\left(\frac{\varrho(x')+\epsilon}{\sqrt[3]{2}}\right)^{n-1} dx' + O(\tau^{n-2}) \quad \text{as } \tau \to +\infty.$$

Letting $\epsilon \to 0$, we obtain

$$B(\tau) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}\,\omega_{n-1}\tau^{n-1}\int_{\partial\Omega}\left(\frac{\varrho(x')}{\sqrt[3]{2}}\right)^{n-1} dx' + O(\tau^{n-2}) \quad \text{as } \tau \to +\infty,$$

i.e.,

$$A(\tau) = \frac{\omega_{n-1}\tau^{n-1}}{(\sqrt[3]{16}\,\pi)^{n-1}}\int_{\partial D}\varrho^{n-1}(s)ds + O(\tau^{n-2}) \quad \text{as } \tau \to +\infty.$$

□

5. THE ASYMPTOTIC FORMULAS ARE SHARP

Hörmander (see [14] or [32]) proved that, for a pseudodifferential operator of order m with principal symbol $p_0(x,\xi)$,

$$\left|e(x,x,\tau)-(2\pi)^{-n}\int_{p_0(x,\xi)<\tau}d\xi^*\right|\le C(1+|\tau|)^{\frac{n-1}{m}} \tag{5.1}$$

uniformly in compact subsets of $\mathcal{M}$, where C is independent of x,τ. Applying this result to our cases, we immediately obtain

$$\left|A(\tau)-\frac{\omega_{n-1}\tau^{n-1}}{(4\pi)^{n-1}}\int_{\partial\Omega}\varrho^{n-1}ds\right|\le C(1+|\tau|)^{n-2}, \tag{5.2}$$

$$\left|B(\tau)-\frac{\omega_{n-1}\tau^{n-1}}{(\sqrt[3]{16}\pi)^{n-1}}\int_{\partial D}\varrho^{n-1}ds\right|\le C(1+|\tau|)^{n-2}. \tag{5.3}$$

In this section, we shall show that (5.2) and (5.3) cannot further be improved. More precisely, we shall show by two counterexamples (letting Ω respectively be the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the unit disk of of $\mathbb{R}^2$,and $\varrho\equiv 1$) that the asymptotic formulas (1.8) and (1.9) are the "best possible".

First we give some well-known facts concerning spherical harmonics (See e.g. Müller [25]). When $\Omega=B$ we may explicitly determine all the biharmonic Steklov eigenvalues of (1.1). In fact, for each integer $m\ge 0$, let $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the set of homogeneous polynomials of degree m in n variables, i.e., the set of functions u of the form

$$u(x)=\sum_{|\alpha|=m}a_\alpha x^\alpha \quad \text{for } \ x\in\mathbb{R}^n,$$

with coefficients $a_\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$. *A solid spherical harmonic of degree* m is an element of the subspace

$$\mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{R}^n)=\{u\in\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{R}^n)\big|\Delta u=0 \ \text{ on } \ \mathbb{R}^n\}. \tag{5.4}$$

Let

$$N(n,m)=\dim\mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{for } \ n\ge 1 \ \text{ and } \ m\ge 0. \tag{5.5}$$

Note that $\mathcal{P}_0=\mathcal{H}_0$ is just the space of constant functions, and $\mathcal{P}_1=\mathcal{H}_1$ is just the space of homogeneous linear functions, so

$$N(n,0)=1 \quad \text{and} \quad N(n,1)=n \quad \text{for } \ n\ge 1. \tag{5.6}$$

It follows from p. 251-252 of [22] that

$$N(1,m)=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } \ m=0 \ \text{ or } \ 1,\\ 0 & \text{if } \ m\ge 2,\end{cases}$$

$$N(2,m)=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } \ m=0,\\ 2 & \text{if } \ m\ge 1,\end{cases}$$

and

$$N(n,m)=\frac{2m+n-2}{n-2}\left(\begin{array}{c} m+n-3 \\ n-3 \end{array}\right) \quad \text{for } n\geq 3 \text{ and } m\geq 0.$$

The following Lemma was obtained by Ferrero, Gazzola and Weth (see, Theorem 1.3 of [10]):

Lemma 5.1. *If $n\geq 2$ and $\Omega=B$, then for all $m=0,1,2,3,\cdots$:*

(i) the eigenvalues of (1.1) are $\tilde{\lambda}_m=n+2m$;

(ii) the multiplicity of $\tilde{\lambda}_m$ equals $N(n,m)$;

(iii) for all $\tilde{\psi}_m\in\mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the function $\tilde{\phi}_m(x):=(1-|x|^2)\tilde{\psi}_m(x)$ is an eigenfunction corresponding to $\tilde{\lambda}_m$.

Now, let $0<\lambda_1\leq\lambda_2\leq\cdots\leq\lambda_k\leq\cdots$ be all biharmonic Steklov eigenvalues for the ball B. From the above lemma and the formula

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(\begin{array}{c} a+j-1 \\ j \end{array}\right)=\frac{(a+1)(a+2)\cdots(a+m)}{m!}-1,$$

we get that for $n\geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
A(\tilde{\lambda}_m) &= \#\{i\big|\lambda_i\leq\tilde{\lambda}_m\}=\sum_{k=0}^{m}N(n,k)\\
&= 1+\sum_{k=1}^{m}\frac{(n+2k-2)(n+k-3)!}{(n-2)!\,k!}=1+\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left[\frac{(n+k-2)!}{(n-2)!\,k!}+\frac{(n+k-3)!}{(n-2)!(k-1)!}\right]\\
&= 1+\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(\begin{array}{c} n+k-2 \\ k \end{array}\right)+\frac{(n-2)!}{(n-2)!}+\sum_{k=2}^{m}\left(\begin{array}{c} n+k-3 \\ k-1 \end{array}\right)\\
&= 1+\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(\begin{array}{c} (n-1)+k-1 \\ k \end{array}\right)+1+\sum_{k=2}^{m}\left(\begin{array}{c} (n-1)+(k-1)-1 \\ k-1 \end{array}\right)\\
&= 2+\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(\begin{array}{c} (n-1)+k-1 \\ k \end{array}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(\begin{array}{c} (n-1)+j-1 \\ j \end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{c} (n-1)+m-1 \\ m \end{array}\right)\\
&= 2+\left[\frac{n(n+1)\cdots(n-1+m)}{m!}-1\right]+\left[\frac{n(n+1)\cdots(n-1+m)}{m!}-1\right]-\left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-2 \\ m \end{array}\right)\\
&= 2\left[\frac{n(n+1)\cdots(n-1+m)}{m!}\right]-\left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-2 \\ m \end{array}\right)\\
&= 2\left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-1 \\ m \end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-2 \\ m \end{array}\right)=2\left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-1 \\ n-1 \end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-2 \\ n-2 \end{array}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

By applying the formula

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} p+1 \\ r \end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{c} p \\ r-1 \end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c} p \\ r \end{array}\right)$$

and $m=\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_m}{2}-\frac{n}{2}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
A(\tilde{\lambda}_m) &= \left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-1 \\ n-1 \end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-2 \\ n-1 \end{array}\right)\\
&= \frac{(2m+n-1)(m+n-2)(m+n-3)\cdots(m+1)}{(n-1)!}\\
&= \frac{1}{2^{n-2}\,(n-1)!}(\tilde{\lambda}_m-1)(\tilde{\lambda}_m+n-4)(\tilde{\lambda}_m+n-6)\cdots(\tilde{\lambda}_m-n+2).
\end{aligned}$$

In view of $\frac{\sqrt{\pi}\,\Gamma(2z)}{2^{2z-1}}=\Gamma(z)\Gamma(z+\frac{1}{2})$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2^{n-2}\,(n-1)!} &= \frac{2^n\pi^{n-1}}{(4\pi)^{n-1}\,(n-1)!}=\frac{n\,\pi^{n-\frac{1}{2}}}{(4\pi)^{n-1}\,\frac{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma(n+1)}{2^n}}\\
&= \frac{n\,\pi^{n-\frac{1}{2}}}{(4\pi)^{n-1}\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}+1)}=\frac{1}{(4\pi)^{n-1}}\cdot\frac{\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2}+1)}\cdot\frac{n\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}+1)}\\
&= \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{(4\pi)^{n-1}}\cdot n\omega_n=\frac{\omega_{n-1}}{(4\pi)^{n-1}}(\mathrm{vol}(\partial B)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
A(\tilde{\lambda}_m) &= \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{(4\pi)^{n-1}}(\mathrm{vol}(\partial B))(\tilde{\lambda}_m-1)(\tilde{\lambda}_m+n-4)(\tilde{\lambda}_m+n-6)\cdots(\tilde{\lambda}_m-n+2)\\
&= \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{(4\pi)^{n-1}}(\mathrm{vol}(\partial B))\left[\tilde{\lambda}_m^{(n-1)}+(1-n)\tilde{\lambda}_m^{n-2}+\cdots-(n-4)(n-6)\cdots(-n+2)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Since $1-n\neq 0$, this shows that the formula (1.8) is sharp.

Similar to the above $A(\tilde{\lambda}_m)$, we can also give a counter-example to show that the remainder term estimate in the asymptotic formula (1.9) is sharp. Let $B\subset\mathbb{R}^2$ be the unit disk. If $m\geq 1$, then the functions

$$\psi_{m,1}(r,\theta)=r^m\cos m\theta \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{m,2}(r,\theta)=r^m\sin m\theta \tag{5.7}$$

form an orthogonal basis of harmonic function in the space $\mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Let us consider the following Neumann boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_{m,j}=\psi_{m,j} & \text{in } B,\\ \frac{\partial u_{m,j}}{\partial\nu}=0 & \text{on } \partial B, \end{cases} \tag{5.8}$$

where $m=1,2,3,\cdots;j=1,2$. We claim that the above solutions $u_{m,j}$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u_{m,j}=0 & \text{in } B,\\ \frac{\partial u_{m,j}}{\partial\nu}=0 & \text{on } \partial B,\\ u_{m,j}=\frac{1}{\mu_{m,j}^3}\,\frac{\partial(\Delta u_{m,j})}{\partial\nu} & \text{on } \partial B. \end{cases} \tag{5.9}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{\mu_{m,j}^3} = \frac{\int_B|\Delta u_{m,j}|^2dx}{\int_{\partial B}\left(\frac{\partial(\Delta u_{m,j})}{\partial\nu}\right)^2ds}=\frac{\int_B|\psi_{m,j}|^2dx}{\int_{\partial B}\left(\frac{\partial\psi_{m,j}}{\partial\nu}\right)^2ds}=\frac{1}{2m^2(m+1)}.$$

In fact, for the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_{m,j}=\psi_{m,j}(r,\theta) & \text{in } B,\\ u_{m,j}=\frac{-1}{2m(m+1)}\psi_{m,j}(1,\theta) & \text{on } \partial B, \end{cases} \qquad m=1,2,3,\cdots;\ j=1,2,$$

from the formula of the solution to the Dirichlet boundary value problem for the Poisson equation in the unit disk, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_{m,j}(\rho,\alpha) = &-\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_0^1 r\,dr\int_0^{2\pi}\ln\frac{1+\rho^2r^2-2r\rho\cos(\alpha-\theta)}{r^2+\rho^2-2r\rho\cos(\alpha-\theta)}\psi_{m,j}(r,\theta)d\theta\\ &-\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{1-\rho^2}{1+\rho^2-2\rho\cos(\alpha-\theta)}\left(\frac{\psi_{m,j}(1,\theta)}{2m(m+1)}\right)d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u_{m,j}(\rho,\alpha)}{\partial\rho}\Big|_{\rho=1} &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^1\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{r(1-r^2)}{1+r^2-2r\cos(\alpha-\theta)}\psi_{m,j}(r,\theta)dr\,d\theta\\ &-\frac{1}{2\pi}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{1-\rho^2}{1+\rho^2-2\rho\cos(\alpha-\theta)}\left(\frac{\psi_{m,j}(1,\theta)}{2m(m+1)}\right)d\theta\right]\Bigg|_{\rho=1}. \end{aligned}$$

It is well-known that

$$\frac{1-r^2}{1+r^2-2r\cos l(\alpha-\theta)} = 1+2\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}r^l\cos l(\alpha-\theta).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u_{m,j}(\rho,\alpha)}{\partial\rho}\Big|_{\rho=1} &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^1\int_0^{2\pi}\left(r+2\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}r^{l+1}\cos l(\alpha-\theta)\right)\psi_{m,j}(r,\theta)dr\,d\theta\\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2\pi}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}\int_0^{2\pi}\left(1+2\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\rho^l\cos l(\alpha-\theta)\right)\frac{\psi_{m,j}(1,\theta)}{2m(m+1)}d\theta\right]\Bigg|_{\rho=1}\\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^1\int_{\alpha}^{\alpha-2\pi}\left(r+2\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}r^{l+1}\cos lt\right)\psi_{m,j}(r,\alpha-t)dr\,dt\\ &\quad +\frac{1}{2\pi}\left[\int_{\alpha}^{\alpha-2\pi}\left(2\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}l\rho^{l-1}\cos lt\right)\frac{\psi_{m,j}(1,\alpha-t)}{2m(m+1)}dt\right]\Bigg|_{\rho=1}. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.7) and a simple calculation, we get $\frac{\partial u_{m,j}}{\partial\nu}\big|_{\rho=1}=0$. Combining this and the result

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\psi_{m,j}=0 & \text{in } B,\\ \frac{\partial\psi_{m,j}}{\partial\nu}=\eta_{m,j}\psi_{m,j} & \text{on } \partial B, \end{cases}\qquad m=1,2,3,\cdots;\ j=1,2,$$

where

$$\frac{1}{\eta_{m,j}}=\frac{\int_B|\nabla(\psi_{m,j})|^2dx}{\int_{\partial B}\left(\frac{\partial\psi_{m,j}}{\partial\nu}\right)^2ds}=\frac{1}{m},\qquad m=1,2,3,\cdots;\ j=1,2,$$

we show the desired claim. It follows that

$$\mu_{m,j}^3=2m^2(m+1),\qquad m=1,2,3,\cdots;\ j=1,2,$$

Thus, for $n=2$,

$$\begin{aligned} B(\mu_{m,j}) &= \#\{i\big|\mu_i\le\mu_{m,j}\}=\sum_{k=0}^{m}N(2,k)=2(m+\frac{1}{2})\\ &= \frac{\omega_1(\mathrm{vol}(\partial B))}{2\pi}\left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By

$$m \sim \frac{\mu_{m,j}}{\sqrt[3]{2}} \quad \text{as } \, m \to +\infty,$$

we have

$$B(\mu_{m,j}) \sim \frac{\omega_1(\mathrm{vol}(\partial B))}{\sqrt[3]{16}\pi}\left(\mu_{m,j} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \quad \text{as } \, m \to +\infty, \, j = 1, 2.$$

Since $\frac{1}{2} \neq 0$, this shows that asymptotic formula (1.9) cannot be improved on unit disk of $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Acknowledgments

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to Professor L. Nirenberg, Professor Fang-Hua Lin and Professor J. Shatah for their support during my visit at Courant Institute. I would like to thank Professor K. C. Chang and Professor C. Sogge for their constructive suggestions to this paper. This research was also supported by SRF for ROCS, SEM (No.2004307D01) and NSF of China (No.11171023).

References

1. S. Agmon, A. Douglis and L. Nirenberg, *Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions. I*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 12(1959), 623-727.
2. M. Ashbaugh, F. Gesztesy, M. Mitrea and G. Teschl, *Spectral theory for perturbed Krein Laplacians in nonsmooth domains*, Adv. in Math. 223 (2010), 1372-1467.
3. L. Ahlfors, Complex Analysis, McGraw Hill, (1979).
4. W. Arendt, R. Nittka, W. Peter and F. Steiner, *Weyl's Law: Spectral properties of the Laplacian in mathematics and physics*, Mathematical Analysis of Evolution, Information, and Complexity. Edited by Wolfgang Arendt and Wolfgang P. Schleich, WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH&Co. KGaA, Weinheim, 2009.
5. V. G. Avakumovič, *Über die Eigenfunktionen auf geschlossenen Riemannschen Mannig- faltigkeiten.* Math. Z. 65(1956), 327-344.
6. D. Bucur, A. Ferrero and F. Gazzola, *On the first eigenvalue of a fourth order Steklov problem*, Calc. Var. 35(2009) 103-131.
7. A. P. Calderón, *On an inverse boundary value problem*, in: Seminar in Numerical Analysis and its Applications to Continuum Physics, Soc. Brasileira de Matemática, Rio de Janeiro, 1980, pp. 65-73.
8. C. Clark, *The asymptotic distributions of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions for elliptic boundary value problems*, SIAM Rev. 9(1967), 627-646.
9. Y. V. Egorov and M. A. Shubin, *Partial differential equations II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1994.
10. A. Ferrero, F. Gazzola and T. Weth, *On a fourth order Stekloff eigenvalue problem*, Analysis 25 (2005), 315-332.
11. A. Fraser and R. Schoen, *The first Steklov eigenvalues, conformal geometry and minimal surfaces*, Advances in Math. 226(2011), 4011-4030.
12. L. Hörmander, *The analysis of partial differential operators* III, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1985.

13. L. Hörmander, *The analysis of partial differential operators* IV, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1985.
14. L. Hörmander, *The spectral function of an elliptic operator*, Acta Math.,No. 1-2, 121(1968), 193-218.
15. J. Jost, *Riemannian geometry and geometric analysis*, Fourth Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2005.
16. J. R. Kuttler and V. G. Sigillito, *Inequalities for membrane and Stekloff eigenvalues*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 23(1968), 148-160.
17. J. R. Kuttler, *Remarks on a Steklov eigenvalue problem*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 9 (1972), 1-5.
18. J. R. Kuttler, *Dirichlet eigenvalues*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 16 (1979), 332-338.
19. J. R. Kuttler and V. G. Sigillito, *Estimating eigenvalues with a posteriori à priori inequalities*, Research Notes in Mathematics, Pitman Advanced Publishing Program (1985).
20. V. Ya. Ivrii, *Second term of the spectral asymptotic expansion of the Laplace- Beltrami operator on Manifolds with boundary*, Funkts. Anal. Prilozh, 14, no.2, 25-34. English transl.: Funct. Anal. Appl. 14(1980), 98-106.
21. G. Q. Liu, *The Weyl-type asymptotic formula for biharmonic Steklov eigenvalues on Riemannian manifolds*, Advances in Math. 228 (2011), 2162-2217.
22. W. McLean, *Strongly elliptic systems and boundary integral equations*, Cambridge University Press, 2000.
23. R. Melrose, *Weyls conjecture for manifolds with concave boundary*. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 36(1980), 257C274.
24. J. Möllers, *The Dirichlet-to-Neumann map as a Pseudodifferential Operator*, Universität Paderborn, Fakultät für Elektrotechnik, Informatik und Mathematik Institut für Mathematik, Diplomarbeit, Matrikelnummer: 6310491, 18. April 2008.
25. C. Müller, *Spherical harmonics*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 17 (1966).
26. L. E. Payne, *Some isoperimetric inequalities for harmonic functions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 1(1970), 354-359.
27. Yu. Safarov and D. Vassiliev, *The asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues of partial differential operators*, American Mathematical Society, 1997.
28. L. Sandgren, *A vibration problem*, Meddelanden frÅn Lunds Universitets Matematiska Seminarium, Band 13, 1955, 1-83.
29. P. Sarnak, *Spectra of hyperbolic surfaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., no.4, 40(2003), 441-478.
30. R. Seeley, *A sharp asymptotic remainder estimate for the eigenvalues of the Laplacian in a domain of* $\mathbb{R}^n$, Advances in Math. 29(1978), 244-269.
31. R. Seeley, *An estimate near the boundary for the spectral function of the Laplace operator*, Amer. J. Math. 102(1980), 869-902.
32. M. A. Shubin, *Pseudodifferential operators and spectrul theory*, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2001.
33. C. D. Sogge. *Concerning the* L^p *norm of spectral clusters for second-order elliptic operators on compact manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. 77(1988), 123-138.
34. H. F. Smith and C. D. Sogge. *On the* L^p *norm of spectral clusters for compact manifolds with boundary*, Acta Math., no.1, 198(2007), 107-153.
35. C. D. Sogge and S. Zelditch, *Riemannian manifolds with maximal eigenfunction growth*, Duke Math. J. 114 (2002), 387-437.
36. W. Steklov [V. A. Steklov], *Sur les problmes fondamentaux de la physique mathmatique*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 19(1902), 455-490.
37. J. Sylvester and G. Uhlmann, *The Dirichlet to Neumann map and applications*, in: Inverse problems in partial differential equations, Edited by David Colton, the Society for Industrial and Applications, 1990.
38. M. E. Taylor, *Partial differential equations I*, Springer-Verlag, 1996.
39. M. E. Taylor, *Partial differential equations II*, Springer-Verlag, 1996.
40. M. E. Taylor, *Pseudodifferential operators and nonlinear PDE, Birhhäser*, 1991.
41. S. P. Timoshenko and J. N. Goodier, *Theory of elasticity*, McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 1970.
42. P. Villaggio, *Mathematical Models for Elastic Structures*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1997.
43. Q. Wang and C. Xia, *Sharp bounds for the first non-zero Stekloff eigenvalues*, J, Funct. Anal. 257(2009), 2635-2654.
44. H. Weyl, *Über die Abhängigkeit der Eigenschwingungen einer Membran und deren Begrenzung*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 141(1912), 1-11.

45. H. Weyl, *Des asymptotische Verteilungsgesetz der Eigenwerte linearer partieller Differentialgleichungen*, Math. Ann. 71(1912), 441-479.
46. H. Weyl, *Das asymptotische Verteilungsgesetz der Eigenschwingung eines beliebig gestalteten elastischen Körpers*, Palermo Rend. 39(1915), 1-50.
47. H. Weyl, *Über die Randwertaufgabe der Strahlungstheorie und asymptotische Spektralgeometrie*. J. Reine Angew. Math., 143 (1913), 177-202.